

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/747,911	12/29/2003	Dac Deug Park	11037-183-999	6794	
24341 MORGAN I F	7590 03/06/2007 WIS & BOCKIIS LIP		EXAMINER		
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP. 2 PALO ALTO SQUARE			LUONG, VINH		
3000 EL CAMINO REAL PALO ALTO, CA 94306			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3682		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/747,911	PARK, DAE DEUG		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Vinh T. Luong	3682		

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	***************************************						
	Vinh T. Luong	3682					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ado	lress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 February 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.					
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee							
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL	- 1	Elaniithin tura maanti	hf4hl-4f				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE:		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-8</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		ation of Ammont will ma	at ha antarad				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>		n condition for allowa	nce because:				
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	Thank	h				
		Vinh T. Luong					
		Primary Examine	)F				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

## Continuation of 11.

Kentaro's lever causes the pedal arm to rotate by torque transferred from the lever. The phenomeno of torque transfer is notoriously well known to be an inherent result as explained by standard physics textbooks. See the rejections in the final Office action on November 21, 2006.

Vinh T. Luong Primary Examiner